| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/630,752 | LANDRY, JO-ANN |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Alexander Grosz | 3673 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: _ | |
| (1) Alexander Grosz. | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Edmonds. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>11 February 2004</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: . | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | • | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: art cited on PTO's 1449 and 892 | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Continuity data was inserted and claim 23 was permitted to be | | AS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare | the examiner will provide a wr e record of the substance of the | itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | - | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica | nt/Applicant's Representative | Signature – if appropriate) |